

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20221 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/164,392	09/30/1998	DONG-GYU KIM	33404/DBP/Y3	6608
75	90 12/10/2002			
McGuire Woods LLP			EXAMINER	
1750 Tysons Boulevard Suite 1800			LANEAU, RONALD	
McLean, VA 22102			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2674	
		DATE MAILED: 12/10/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Interview Summary

Application No. **09/164.392**

Examiner

Applicant(s)

Ronald Laneau

Art Unit

2674

DONG-GYU KIM



All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (3) Wonsuck Choi (1) Ronald Laneau (2) Hae-Chan Park Date of Interview ______ Dec 4, 2002 Type: a) X Telephonic b) Video Conference c) Personal [copy is given to 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative] Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) \(\subseteq \text{Yes} \) e) \(\text{No. If yes, brief description:} \) Claim(s) discussed: 1, 6, and 17 Identification of prior art discussed: None Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g was not reached. h \cap N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: In a telephonic interview, Mr. park pointed out the differences between the present invention and the cited reference. as changing the polarity in a group of pixels intead of individual piels to prevent a coupling capacitance between the pixel electrodes and common electrodes that cause a voltage fluctuation which results in a crosstalk. Futher search will be required. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) i) 🛛 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked). Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is Examiner's signature, if required an Attachment to a signed Office action.